

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer(Public Health), Narsapur Municipality – Disciplinary proceedings instituted under Rule 20 of APCS(CC&A) Rules, 1991 – Articles of charges issued - Explanation submitted –Examined – Dropping of further action – Orders - Issued.

---

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (L1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 58

Date:10-01-2014

Read the following:

1. G.O.Rt.No.82,MA&UD(L1) Dept., dt: 22.01.2007
2. From K.Shiva Prasad, Addl.Dir, O/o CDMA Inquiry Report  
Lr.Roc.No.1/KSP/NRSP/2009, dt:17.02.2009
3. G.O.Rt.No.550,MA&UD(L1) Dept., dt.18.05.2010
4. Explanation from Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then E.E(PH),  
Narsapur Municipality dt:Nil

\*\* \*\*

**ORDER**

Whereas in the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer (Public Health), Narsapur Municipality along with 7 others on the allegations of irregularities in Pushkaram works during 2003 in Narsapur Municipality.

2. In response to above charges , the Charged Officer Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer(Public Health), Narsapur Municipality has submitted his Written Statement of Defense, wherein he has stated that the charge framed against him now vide G.O.Rt.550 dt.18-05-2010 is same as the charge No.1 in the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, which was not proved against the Sri G.V.Pallam Raju, Municipal Assistant Engineer and the case was concluded by awarding penalty against Municipal Assistant Engineer for the other charges proved. At that time no charges were framed against him. He has also stated that the Enquiry Officer who has conducted enquiry against Sri G.V.Pallam Raju, Municipal Assistant Engineer for the charge.No.1, i.e., the charge now framed against him as supervisory lapse has not taken into consideration of the third party quality control agency, which reveals that the compressive strength and thickness of all the 7 roads are satisfactory and there are no deficiencies in all the 7 roads and held that the charge was not proved against Municipal Assistant Engineer. He has further submitted that the charge which was not proved against Municipal Assistant Engineer, who is executing authority, cannot be framed against him i.e., Executive Engineer as supervisory lapse. He has therefore requested taking into the same analogy the charges against him may please be dropped in view of his retirement.

4. Government after careful examination of explanation and records have decided to drop further action against Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer (Public Health), Narsapur Municipality.

:2:

5. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer (Public Health), Narsapur Municipality in this case.

6. The Engineer-in-Chief (Public Health), Hyderabad shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Dr S.K JOSHI,  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (UD)

**To**

Sri K.Sreeranga Raju, the then Executive Engineer (Public Health), Narsapur Municipality – **through** the Engineer-in-Chief (Public Health), Hyderabad  
The Engineer-in-Chief (Public Health), Hyderabad  
The Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad.

Copy to: PS to M(MA)  
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER